1	Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)		
2	Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052)		
3	Sunny S. Sarkis (SBN 258073) SCHNEIDER WALLACE		
4	COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400		
5	Emeryville, CA 94608		
	Telephone: (415) 421-7100 TSchneider@schneiderwallace.com		
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	MWeiler@schneiderwallace.com SSarkis@schneiderwallace.com		
8	Jason H. Kim (SBN 220279)		
9	SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP		
10	300 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2700 Los Angeles, California 90071		
11	Telephone: (415) 421-7100		
12	JKim@schneiderwallace.com		
13			
14			
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
16	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		C N- 2.22 02012 DEI	
18	MARK YOUNG, on behalf of himself and all	Case No. 3:22-cv-03912-RFL	
19	others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
20	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED	
21	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION CONCERNING PAGE LIMITS	
22	SOLANA LABS, INC.;	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
23	MULTICOIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC; KYLE SAMANI,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION		

**CONCERNING PAGE LIMITS** 

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Lead Plaintiff Mark S. Young ("Plaintiff" or "Young") files 2 the instant Motion for Administrative Relief to respectfully request that the Court (i) permit Plaintiff's 3 twenty-five (25) page Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration; or (ii) in the 4 alternative, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court permit Plaintiff to re-file his Opposition to 5 Motion to Compel Arbitration in accordance with the fifteen (15) page limit set forth in this Court's 6 Standing Order. 7 On April 11, 2024, Defendant Solana Labs, Inc. filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's 8 Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint. ECF Nos. 76. On April 11, 2024, Defendants 9 Multicoin Capital Management LLC and Kyle Samani filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's 10 Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint. ECF No. 80. All Defendants also filed a Joint Motion 11 to Compel Arbitration ("Motion to Compel Arbitration"). ECF No. 78. In support of their Motion to 12 Compel Arbitration, Defendants filed the Declaration of David H. McGill (the "McGill Declaration"). 13 ECF No. 78-1. The McGill Declaration attached several exhibits: (i) a copy of the Exodus download 14 screen as it appeared on August 21, 2021, and (ii) a copy of the Exodus Terms of Service from July 1, 15 2021. Id. The McGill Declaration also contains multiple screenshots which Defendants argue as 16 evidence in support of their Motion to Compel Arbitration. *Id.* 17 On April 30, 2024, Plaintiff filed an Administrative Motion to Align Briefing Schedules on 18 Defendants' Motions to Dismiss and Motion to Compel. ECF No. 84. Per the Administrative Motion, 19 Plaintiff filed his Opposition to Solana Labs, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss on May 13, 2024. ECF No. 88. 20 That same day, Plaintiff filed his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss filed by Multicoin and Samani. 21 ECF No. 87. On May 13, 2024, Plaintiff filed a twenty-five (25) page Opposition to Defendants' 22 Motion to Compel Arbitration. ECF No. 86. 23 Through the instant Administrative Motion, Plaintiff requests the Court's permission to exceed 24 the fifteen (15) page limit set by the Court's Standing Order for its Opposition to Defendants' Motion 25 to Compel, already filed by Plaintiff on May 13, 2024. 26 This Court's Standing Order permits twenty-five pages for Motions for Summary Judgment, 27 and the legal standard for a motion to compel arbitration is the same as the legal standard for a motion

28

1 for summary judgment. Powellv. UHG I LLC, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 231197, at \*4-5 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 2 23, 2023); see also Newbill v. CVS Caremark, LLC, 2023 WL 4746120, at \*2 (D. Ariz. July 25, 3 2023) (considering motion to compel arbitration under summary judgment standard where both 4 parties submitted matters outside the pleadings, including declarations and exhibits). See also 5 Gonzalez v. Comenity Bank, 2019 WL 5596800, at \*4 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2019) ("On a motion to 6 compel arbitration under the FAA, courts apply a standard similar to the summary judgment standard 7 applied under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."); Wang v. Life Ins. Co. of the Sw., 8 2019 WL 13201949, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2019) (same). As set forth above, Defendants have 9 submitted evidence, i.e., the McGill Declaration, as well as several exhibits to the McGill Declaration, 10 in support of their Motion to Compel Arbitration. 11 In the alternative, should the Court deny Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to permit his 12 twenty-five (25) page Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration, Plaintiff respectfully 13 requests that the Court permit Plaintiff to re-file its Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel in 14 accordance with the fifteen (15) page limit set forth in this Court's Standing Order. 15 Plaintiff met and conferred with Solana Labs, Multicoin, and Samani, who do not 16 oppose Plaintiff's page-extension request provided that if the Court grants Plaintiff such an 17 extension, Defendants be afforded additional pages for a total of twenty (20) pages on Reply. 18 Declaration of Matthew Weiler in Support of Administrative Motion re Page Limits ("Weiler Decl."), 19  $\P$  2-3. Alternatively, if the Court requires that Plaintiff file a fifteen (15) page opposition as per the 20 Court's Standing Order, Defendants ask that Plaintiff be required to file within three (3) days of the 21 Court's order, and that Defendants be afforded the later of three (3) weeks after the Court's order or 22 June 20, 2024, to file their Joint Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration. Id.

In conclusion, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (i) permit Plaintiff's twenty-five (25) page Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration already filed on May 13, 2024; or (ii) in the alternative, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court permit Plaintiff to re-file his

27

28

23

24

25

26

at ¶ 3.

1	Opposition to Motion to Compel Arbitration in accordance with the fifteen (15) page limit set forth	in
2	this Court's Standing Order.	
3		
4	Dated: May 29, 2024 Respectfully submitted,	
5	/s/ Matthew S. Weiler	
6	Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)	
7	Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052) Sunny S. Sarkis (SBN 258073)	
8	SCHNEIDER WALLACE	
9	2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400	
10	Emeryville, California 94608	
11	Email: tschneider@schneiderwallace.com	
	Email: ssarkis@schneiderwallace.com	
12	Lagar II. Wim (SDN 220270)	
13	SCHNEIDER WALLACE	
14	COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2700	
15	Los Angeles, California 90071	
16	Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Email: jkim@schneiderwallace.com	
17		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	DI AINTIEE'S LINOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION	—
28	CONCERNING PAGE LIMITS 3:22-cv-03912-RFL	

3:22-cv-03912-RFL

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Northern District of California, by using the Court's CM/ECF system on May 29, 2024. I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the Court's CM/ECF system. Dated: May 29, 2024 /s/ Matthew S. Weiler Matthew S. Weiler PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION **CONCERNING PAGE LIMITS**